



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,792	07/30/2003	Takeshi Kato	011350-314	9403
21839	7590	07/02/2008	EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				LIN, SHEW FEN
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2166				
		NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		07/02/2008		ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,792	KATO, TAKESHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHEW-FEN LIN	2166	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2008.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 5,8,13 and 14 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 5, 8, and 13-14 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

- a. This action is taken to response to amendments and remarks filed on 4/8/2008.
- b. Claims 5, 8, and 13-14 are pending in this Office Action. Claims 5, 8, and 13 are independent claims.
- c. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Objections

Claim 14 recites the limitation "the program for controlling a file storage device". There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim.

Claim 14 provides for the use of the program according to claim 13, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 5, and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chui (US Patent Publication 2002/0021758).

As to claims 5 and 13-14, Chui discloses a file storage device (Fig. 17, paragraph 0050, 0249) that communicates with a portable terminal (Figs. 4-5, paragraph 0064, a typical client device 120 will be a personal digital assistant), comprising:

a thumbnail image transmitting part for transmitting a thumbnail image for a specified file to said portable terminal in response to a request from said portable terminal (paragraph 0108, transmit image to a client computer, Fig. 18, 240, client device download “thumbnail”, Fig. 1, paragraph 0036);

an enlarged image generating part that is responsive to a request from said portable terminal containing a coordinate of an enlargement reference point of said thumbnail image and an enlarging ratio relative to said thumbnail image, for generating an enlarged image corresponding to said a coordinate of an enlargement reference point of said thumbnail image and enlarging ratio relative to said thumbnail image (abstract, Figs. 2-3, paragraph 0028, 0037, using the displayed image, processing logic identifies a location in the first image [thumbnail]. In one embodiment, the location may be identified by positioning a cursor over the location in the image [a coordinate of an enlargement reference point]. The cursor may be positioned by a user selecting a particular portion of the first image to be shown at another resolution. For example, a user may wish to see a zoomed-in version of a portion of an image, paragraph 0038, the

identification of the location of a curser, such as by, for example, x,y coordinates on a display, paragraph 0039-0041, the resolution level of the second image is selected by the user based on the number of times the user activates or engages a user input mechanism, e.g., the number of mouse clicks the user makes [enlarging ratio corresponding the number of click]); and an enlarged image transmitting part for transmitting the enlarged image generated by said enlarged image generating part to said portable terminal (Figs. 1-2, paragraph 0044, 0138, when the client zooms in on a part of the image at a specified higher resolution level, only the tiles of data needed to generate the portion of the image to be viewed on the client computer are sent to the client computer).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time

a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chui (US Patent Publication 2002/0021758) in view of Parulski et al. (US Patent Publication 2003/0193603, hereinafter referred as Parulski).

As to claim 8, Chui discloses a printing system, comprising:

a file storage device for storing a file (Fig. 17, paragraph 0050, 0249);
a printing device communicable with said file storage device; and
a portable terminal communicable with said file storage device (Figs. 4-5, paragraph 0064, a typical client device 120 will be a personal digital assistant), wherein
a file stored in said file storage device is printed by said printing device based on an instruction from said portable terminal;
said file storage device including:
a thumbnail image transmitting part for transmitting a thumbnail image for a specified file to said portable terminal in response to a request from said portable terminal (paragraph 0108, transmit image to a client computer, Fig. 18, 240, client device download “thumbnail”, Fig. 1, paragraph 0036);
an enlarged image generating part that is responsive to a request from said portable terminal containing a coordinate of an enlargement reference point of. said thumbnail image and an enlarging ratio relative to said thumbnail image, for generating an enlarged image

corresponding to said a coordinate of an enlargement reference point of. said thumbnail image and enlarging ratio relative to said thumbnail image (abstract, Figs. 2-3, paragraph 0028, 0037, using the displayed image, processing logic identifies a location in the first image [thumbnail]. In one embodiment, the location may be identified by positioning a cursor over the location in the image [a coordinate of an enlargement reference point]. The cursor may be positioned by a user selecting a particular portion of the first image to be shown at another resolution. For example, a user may wish to see a zoomed-in version of a portion of an image, paragraph 0038, the identification of the location of a cursor, such as by, for example, x,y coordinates on a display, paragraph 0039-0041, the resolution level of the second image is selected by the user based on the number of times the user activates or engages a user input mechanism, e.g., the number of mouse clicks the user makes [enlarging ratio corresponding the number of click]); and an enlarged image transmitting part for transmitting the enlarged image generated by said enlarged image generating part to said portable terminal (Figs. 1-2, paragraph 0044, 0138, when the client zooms in on a part of the image at a specified higher resolution level, only the tiles of data needed to generate the portion of the image to be viewed on the client computer are sent to the client computer);

said portable terminal including:

a display part for displaying the thumbnail image (Figs. 1, 5, display, Fig.2, 100, Fig. 3, 302, thumbnail) and the enlarged image received from said file storage device (Figs. 1, 5, display, Fig. 2, 210, 220, 230, enlarged images).

Chui does not explicitly disclose a printing system comprising a printing device communicable with said file storage device; and a file stored in said file storage device is printed by said printing device based on an instruction from said portable terminal.

However, it is a well-known and common practice in the art to connect printer device to a computer to print file/images required by the user.

Further, Parulski discloses a printing system (Fig. 6) comprising: a printing device communicable with said file storage device (Fig. 6, 270, 290); and wherein a file stored in said file storage device is printed by said printing device based on an instruction from said portable terminal (Fig. 428, Fig. 9, 516, paragraph 0022, 0050, 0063, user order print).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify Chui's disclosure to include printer in the image viewing system as taught by Parulski for the purpose of enables a user to order prints and other photo/image related products using these digital images (paragraph 0022). The skilled artisan would have been motivated to improve the invention of Chui per the above such that the portable phone can be used to produce printout of selected images.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5, 8, and 13-14 have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues that Chui fails to disclose or suggest that an enlarged image corresponding to said enlargement reference point of said thumbnail image and said enlarging ratio relative to said thumbnail image is generated in response to a request from a portable

terminal, as recited in Applicants claims. Rather, Chui discloses that the image layer data is stored in memory prior to a user request to resize an image.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:

First, the claim language as it is recited is completely silent on which image/file is used to generating the enlarged image, as such whether the image layer data is stored in memory prior to a user request to resize an image is irrelevant to the claimed invention. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Second, Applicant admits that “Chui discloses that....The user's action, each image file up to the resolution level specified by the user (number of clicks) is decompressed and dequantized. Then, the reconstructed transform coefficients are inverse transformed to reconstruct the image data at specified resolution level. The server sends the requested data to the client machine” (remark, page 6), i.e. Chui disclosed generating (reconstruct) the image based on coordinate and enlarging ration specified by the user.

On pages 7-8, the arguments of Rejection under USC 103 are directed to the similar argument of Rejection under USC 102, which has been addressed above.

Related Prior Arts

The following list of prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Watanabe; Mikio, US 7027084 B1, “Image communication system and an apparatus for and a method of processing an image”.

- Jogo; Naozumi, US 7209149 B2, "Image cropping and synthesizing method, and imaging apparatus".
- Tanaka, Keisuke, US 20030058256 A1, "Method, apparatus and program for composite image generation".

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shew-Fen Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-2672. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shew-Fen Lin /S. L./
Examiner, Art Unit 2166
June 26, 2008

/Hosain T Alam/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2166